## On extremal codes with automorphisms

STEFKA BOUYUKLIEVA stefka@uni-vt.bg Veliko Tarnovo University, 5000 Veliko Tarnovo, BULGARIA,

ANTON MALEVICH anton.malevich@st.ovgu.de WOLFGANG WILLEMS willems@ovgu.de Both: Otto-von-Guericke Universität, 39016 Magdeburg, GERMANY

**Abstract.** Let *C* be a binary extremal self-dual doubly-even code of length  $n \ge 48$ . If such a code has an automorphism  $\sigma$  of prime order  $p \ge 5$  then the number of fixed points in the permutation action on the coordinates is bounded by the number of *p*-cycles. It turns out that large primes *p*, i.e. n - p small, occur extremely rarely in Aut(*C*). Examples are the extended quadratic residue codes. We prove that doubly-even extended quadratic residue codes of length n = p + 1 are extremal if and only if n = 8, 24, 32, 48, 80 or 104. Moreover, we reduce the list of putative extremal doubly-even codes with an automorphism of prime order p = n - 1 to merely 12 cases. We conjecture that in fact such an extremal code, if it is not an extended quadratic residue code of one of the lengths given above, does not exist.

#### 1 Introduction

Let  $C = C^{\perp}$  be a binary self-dual doubly-even code of length n and minimum distance d. By Gleason [2], we have n = 24m + 8i, i = 0, 1, 2. Due to Mallows-Sloane [6] and Rains [8] there is the following bound on the minimum distance

$$d \le 4\lfloor \frac{n}{24} \rfloor + 4 \text{ if } n \not\equiv 22 \mod 24,$$

and C is called extremal if equality holds. Extremal codes do not exist for large n. If C is doubly-even then  $n \leq 3823$ , by a result of S. Zhang [10]. However, we know extremal codes only for small lengths, the largest being 136. Thus there is a big gap between the bound we have for extremal doubly-even codes and what we can construct. In order to find extremal codes of larger lengths automorphisms may be helpful.

Let  $G = \operatorname{Aut}(C)$  and let  $\sigma \in G$  be a permutation of order p where p is an odd prime. The action of  $\sigma$  on the positions produces, say c cycles of length p and f fixed points, and in this case we call  $\sigma$  of type p - (c, f). In Sections 2 and 3 we investigate the case c = f = 1. In particular we prove that extended doubly-even quadratic residue codes of length n = p + 1 are extremal only if n = 8, 24, 32, 48, 80 and 104.

#### Extremal doubly-even extended QR-codes $\mathbf{2}$

Let  $C = C^{\perp}$  be extremal and doubly-even of length  $n \ge 48$ . Furthermore, we assume that C has an automorphism, say  $\sigma$ , of prime order  $p > \frac{n}{2}$ . The following result which extends the main theorem of [1] turns out to be crucial in our investigations.

**Proposition.** Let C be an extremal binary self-dual code of length 24m + 2rwith  $0 \le r \le 11$  and  $m \ge 2$ . If  $\sigma$  is an automorphism of C of type p - (c, f), where  $p \ge 5$  is a prime, then  $c \ge f$ .

Thus we have that  $\sigma$  is of type p - (1, 1) and n = 24m + 8i = p + 1. In particular,

$$p \equiv -1 \mod 8.$$

Moreover,  $i \neq 2$  since otherwise  $3 \mid 24m + 16 - 1 = p > 3$ , a contradiction. Finally, let s(p) denote the smallest number  $s \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $p \mid 2^s - 1$ .

In [1] the following has been shown

**Proposition.** If  $s(p) = \frac{p-1}{2}$  then C is an extended quadratic residue code. The condition  $s(p) = \frac{p-1}{2}$  is very often satisfied. If n = 24m = p + 1 then  $m \leq 153$  and  $s(p) = \frac{p-1}{2}$  except the cases

$$m = 18, 38, 46, 98, 112, 133.$$

If n = 24m + 8 = p + 1 then  $m \le 158$  and  $s(p) = \frac{p-1}{2}$  in about half of the cases. Thus, by Theorem 2, we see that many of the codes in question are extended quadratic residue codes. For this class of codes we can give a complete answer. **Theorem.** Let  $C = C^{\perp}$  be a doubly-even extended quadratic residue code of length n. Then C is extremal exactly for

$$n = 8, 24, 32, 48, 80$$
 and 104.

Proof: Let n = p + 1 be the length of C. It is well-known that PSL(2, p) is contained in the automorphism group of C. We may assume that n is different from 8, 24, 32, 48, 80, 104 since extended quadratic residue codes of these lengths are extremal (see [9]). In all other cases we have to find a code word of weight strictly smaller than  $4\lfloor \frac{n}{24} \rfloor + 4$ .

This can be done along the following lines using the computer algebrasystem MAGMA. For each n = p + 1 we choose a suitable subgroup, say H of PSL(2, p).

In most cases we choose H to be a cyclic group of order 4 or 6 or a Sylow 2-subgroup of PSL(2, p).

Next we find the subcode  $C^H$  of C which consists of those vectors of C which are fixed by the elements of H. This subcode is in general much smaller than C.

Finally by direct enumeration we find in  $C^H$  codewords of weight strictly less than  $4\lfloor \frac{n}{24} \rfloor + 4$  and the proof is complete.

In the proof of the previous theorem H is chosen so that the subcode  $C^{H}$  is on the one hand small enough for enumeration and on the other hand contains codewords of small enough weight.

### **3** The general case, i.e. s(p) arbitrary

If s(p) is arbitrary we have the following decomposition

$$\mathbb{F}_2\langle\sigma\rangle = V_0 \oplus V_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus V_k$$

with irreducible modules  $V_i$ , each of dimension s(p) for  $i = 1, \ldots, k$ , and  $V_0$ the trivial module. Furthermore, all modules are pairwise non-isomorphic and  $V_1, \ldots, V_k$  may be considered as the minimal ideals in the group algebra  $\mathbb{F}_2\langle \sigma \rangle$ . Moreover, they are generated as ideals or  $\mathbb{F}_2\langle \sigma \rangle$ -modules by primitive idempotents, say  $e_{i_1}, \ldots, e_{i_k}$ . They are unique and can be constructed as follows.

Let  $\alpha$  be a *p*-th root of unity in  $\mathbb{F}_{2^{s(p)}}$  and let  $C_{i_1}, \ldots, C_{i_k}$  denote the 2cyclotomic cosets modulo *p* and  $C_0$  the trivial coset containing only 0. Then

$$e_t = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \varepsilon_i \sigma^i$$
 with  $\varepsilon_i = \sum_{j \in C_t} \alpha^{ij}$ 

where  $t = i_1, \ldots, i_k$  is a representative of the coset  $C_t$ . Furthermore, any ideal in  $\mathbb{F}_2\langle\sigma\rangle$  is generated by the sum of suitable  $e_t$ 's.

Clearly, such an ideal is a cyclic code since of  $\mathbb{F}_2\langle\sigma\rangle \cong \mathbb{F}_2[x]/_{(x^p-1)}$  as algebras. Since we are interested in self-dual  $[p+1, \frac{p+1}{2}]$ -codes we have to look at all possible ideals of type  $V = V_{i_1} \oplus \ldots \oplus V_{i_{k/2}}$  with the property that V does not contain  $V_j^*$  if it contains  $V_j$ . There are precisely  $2^{k/2}$  possibilities for V, and V is generated by a suitable sum of primitive idempotents. In our case (a binary field and p an odd prime) these are duadic codes in the sense of [4] (see [5] for properties of such codes). There is an easy way to compute the classes of inequivalent codes.

**Proposition.** (Pálfy, see [3]) Let  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  be cyclic [n, k]-codes over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Assume that  $gcd(n, \varphi(n)) = 1$  where  $\varphi$  is the Euler  $\varphi$ -function. Then  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are equivalent if and only if there is a multiplier that maps the idempotent of  $C_1$  to the idempotent of  $C_2$ .

The multipliers are group automorphisms of the form  $\mu_a: \sigma \mapsto \sigma^a$ . There are in fact exactly k multipliers, having different actions on the cyclotomic cosets and thus on the idempotents. They are of the form  $\mu_t$  where t runs through a set of representatives of the cyclotomic cosets.

For each prime p with  $s(p) \neq \frac{p-1}{2}$  we have to consider  $2^{k/2}$  different codes. Each equivalence class consists of at most k codes. Thus the number of inequivalent codes is at least  $\left|\frac{2^{k/2}}{k}\right|$ , including the extended QR code.

In the table below we list all primes p = 24m + 8i - 1 > 48 for i = 0, 1 with  $s(p) \neq \frac{p-1}{2}$ . The boldfaced entries are the exceptions from [1] where p = 24m - 1. The column "Num of Codes" gives the minimum number of inequivalent codes, i.e.  $\left\lfloor \frac{2^{k/2}}{k} \right\rfloor$ . In the second last column d stands for the extremal minimum distance. There are three types of entries in the column "w found". For the case k = 6 the number stands for the weight w < d we found in a code not equivalent to the QR code. The "not extremal" for k > 6 means that for all possible codes a weight smaller than d was found. In case we were unable to find a weight smaller than d the field is left with a blank.

The weights have been computed using the computer algebra-system MAG-MA. The cases with p small we ruled out by a direct enumeration of codewords. For p large, the algorithm described in the Theorem was used. The problem which turned out is that little can be said about the automorphism group of duadic codes different from QR codes. But since they are extended cyclic codes they possess at least two automorphisms, namely  $\sigma$  of order p and  $\mu_2$  of order s(p). Furthermore if s(p) is not prime then  $\langle \mu_2^i \rangle$  for some i can be used instead of H in the Theorem. Therefore we have included the prime factorization of s(p) in the second column.

Based on the information from the table below there is some evidence for the

**Conjecture.** There are no extremal self-dual doubly-even codes having an automorphism of prime order  $p > n/2 \ge 24$  apart from the cases listed in Theorem.

#### OC2009

$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			-			
151153 <sup>1</sup> · 5 <sup>1</sup> 10428not extremal223 $37=37^1$ 624036431 $43=43^1$ 10476not extremal439 $73=73^1$ 627672631 $45=3^2 \cdot 5^1$ 1410108not extremal727 $121=11^2$ 62124112911 $91=7^1 \cdot 13^1$ 104156not extremal919 $153=3^2 \cdot 17^1$ 622242081399233=23^1622402321423 $237=3^1 \cdot 79^1$ 622402321471 $245=5^1 \cdot 7^2$ 622482361831 $305=5^1 \cdot 61^1$ 623083001999 $333=3^2 \cdot 37^1$ 622363082143 $51=3^1 \cdot 127^1$ 623843802287 $381=3^1 \cdot 127^1$ 624484162687 $79=79^1$ 3438564522767 $461=461^1$ 624642791 $465=3^1 \cdot 5^1 \cdot 31^1$ 624683191 $55=5^1 \cdot 11^1$ 5892563965363271 $545=57^1$ 625803391 $113=113^1$ 3010935683463 $577=577^1$ 625803601 $601=601^1$ 626043631 $605=5^1 \cdot 11^2$ 62<		s(p)	k	Num of Codes	d	w found
223 $37=37^1$ 624036431 $43=43^1$ 10476not extremal439 $73=73^1$ 627672631 $45=3^2 \cdot 5^1$ 1410108not extremal727 $121=11^2$ 62124112911 $91=7^1 \cdot 13^1$ 104156not extremal919 $153=3^2 \cdot 17^1$ 62156144103 $29=29^1$ 38137981881327 $221=13^1 \cdot 17^1$ 62240233=233^1622401423 $237=3^1 \cdot 79^1$ 62244245= $5^1 \cdot 7^2$ 62245= $5^1 \cdot 7^2$ 622462361471 $245=5^1 \cdot 7^2$ 62236303= $3^2 \cdot 37^1$ 62236308301 $39=3^2 \cdot 37^1$ 62236381= $3^1 \cdot 127^1$ 623843802351 $47=47^1$ 506710893962383397=397^1624684363191 $55=5^1 \cdot 11^1$ 5892563965363271 $545=5^1 \cdot 109^1$ 625485403343 $557=557^1$ 625603391113=113^13010935683463 $577=577^1$ <		• •				
431 $43=43^1$ 10476not extremal439 $73=73^1$ 627672631 $45=3^2 \cdot 5^1$ 1410108not extremal727 $121=11^2$ 62124112911 $91=7^1 \cdot 13^1$ 104156not extremal919 $153=3^2 \cdot 17^1$ 621561441103 $29=29^1$ 38137981881327 $221=13^1 \cdot 17^1$ 62240233=233^1622401423 $237=3^1 \cdot 79^1$ 62240237=3^1 \cdot 79^1622482361331 $305=5^1 \cdot 61^1$ 621471 $245=5^1 \cdot 7^2$ 622361831 $305=5^1 \cdot 61^1$ 623083001999 $333=3^2 \cdot 37^1$ 622362887 $381=3^1 \cdot 127^1$ 623843802351 $47=47^1$ 506710892883 $397=397^1$ 624002671 $445=5^1 \cdot 89^1$ 624684364363191 $55=5^1 \cdot 11^1$ 5892563965363271 $545=5^1 \cdot 109^1$ 625483463 $577=577^1$ 625803601601=601^1626043631605= $5^1 \cdot 11^2$ 62608						
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	223		6	2	40	36
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	439		6	2	76	72
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					108	not extremal
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	727		6	2	124	112
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	911		10		156	not extremal
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	919		6	2	156	144
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1103		38	13798	188	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1327		6		224	208
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1399		6		236	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1423		6	2	240	232
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1471		6		248	236
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1831		6		308	300
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1999		6	2	236	308
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2143		42	49933	360	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2287		6	2	384	380
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2351		50	671089	396	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2383		6		400	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2671		6	2	448	416
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2687					
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2791		6		468	436
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3191		58	9256396	536	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3271		6		548	540
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3343		6	2	560	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3391		30		568	
$3631  605 = 5^1 \cdot 11^2 \qquad 6 \qquad 2 \qquad 608 \qquad 596$	3463		6		580	
	3601		6		604	
$3823   637 = 7^2 \cdot 13^1   6   2   640   612$					608	
	3823	$637 = 7^2 \cdot 13^1$	6	2	640	612

# References

[1] S. Bouyuklieva, W. Willems, Notes on automorphism groups of extremal codes. *Proc. ACCT*, Pamporovo 2008, 16-22.

- [2] A. M. Gleason. Weight polynomials of self-dual codes and the MacWilliams identities. In Actes Congrès Internat. Math. 3, 1970, 211-215.
- [3] W. C. Huffman, V. Job, V. Pless, Multipliers and generalized multipliers of cyclic codes and cyclic objects. J. Comb. Theory A-62, 1993, 183-215.
- [4] J. S. Leon, J. M. Masley, V. Pless, Duadic codes, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* 30, 1984, 709-714.
- [5] J. S. Leon, J. M. Masley, V. Pless, On weights in duadic codes. J. Comb. Theory A-44, 1987, 6-21.
- [6] C. L. Mallows, N. J. A. Sloane, An upper bound for self-dual codes. *Inform. Control* 22, 1973, 188-200.
- [7] C. Martínez-Pérez, W. Willems, Self-dual extended cyclic codes, Appl. Algebra Eng. Comm. Computing 17, 2006, 1-16.
- [8] E. M. Rains, Shadow bounds for self-dual-codes, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* 44, 1998, 134-139.
- [9] E. M. Rains, N. J. A. Soane, Self-dual codes, in *Handbook of Coding Theory*, V.S. Pless and W.C. Huffman, eds., Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1998, 177-294.
- [10] S. Zhang, On the nonexistence of extremal self-dual codes, Discr. Appl. Math. 91, 1999, 277-286.